

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/676,930	09/30/2003	Jeffrey H. Sawchuk	39,816-04	4649
75	90 06/14/2005		EXAMINER	
BP America Inc.			DOERRLER, WILLIAM CHARLES	
Docket Clerk, BP Legal, M.C. 5East 4101 Winfield Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Warrenville, IL			3744	

DATE MAILED: 06/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				m			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan		10/676,930	SAWCHUK ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		William C. Doerrier	3744				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nasions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor into the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the period for reply will be period f	FION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC by statute, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed  nirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communica  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.			
Status			N.				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	ı .					
2a)□		 ☑ This action is non-final.	, ·				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u	allowance except for formal ma	·	is			
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Ex	caminer.					
•	☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10 February 2004</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	•					
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc  2. Certified copies of the priority doc  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in ne priority documents have bee Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachmen		_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9		Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🛛 Infor	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO r No(s)/Mail Date 8-26-2004.		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

# **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

# Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "storage and shipping" equipment as claimed in the (a) portion of each independent claim must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1,2,6,7,11,12,13,17-19 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Fanning et al reference ('739 or '744) in view of the Kosseim et al article from the IDS.

Both Fanning references disclose applicants' basic inventive concept, a natural gas liquefaction system with a shared compression module which is used by multiple chains (line 24 of column 3 of '744 state that additional lines may be added), substantially as claimed with the exception of expressly stating that the gas is pretreated. The Kosseim et al article shows this feature to be old in the natural gas treatment art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention from the teaching of Kosseim et al to modify the natural gas treatment device of Fanning et al Art Unit: 3744

by treating the feed to remove acid gas and water to ensure pure gas at the outlet and reduce the chances of the gas solidifying in the cooling system.

### Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1,2,6,7,12,13,18 and 19 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 15-17 of copending Application No. 10/674,246, as represented by 2004/0109803. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because Both claims are claiming the method of operating a hydrocarbon process where the gas is treated to remove acid gas and water then compressed and cooled, wherein at least one of the steps is performed using shared equipment which may have an additional module added thereto to increase production. The specifying in the present case that the first train is a launch train is seen as obvious to an ordinary practitioner in the art so that if both sets of claims are allowed, applicant will possess two patents to one invention in contrast with 35 USC 101.

Art Unit: 3744

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3,4,5,8-10,14-16 and 20-22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Wong shows a modular cryogenic system with a shared heat exchanger. Keith et al, Tate et al and Quine et al each show a modular natural gas processing apparatus.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C. Doerrler whose telephone number is (571) 272-4807. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William C Doerrler Primary Examiner Art Unit 3744

WCD